UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
v. Kavosaye Enell Phillips	Cons No. 4:40 Cr. 250
Defendant	Case No. 1:10 Cr 359
After conducting a detention hearing under the that the defendant be detained pending trial.	e Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
·	Part I – Findings of Fact
(1) The defendant is charged with an offense d	escribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
a crime of violence as defined in 18 L which the prison term is 10 years or n	J.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for nore.
an offense for which the maximum se	entence is death or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum priso	on term of ten years or more is prescribed in:
a felony committed after the defendar U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or compar	nt had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 rable state or local offenses.
any felony that is not a crime of violer a minor victim	nce but involves:
the possession or use of a failure to register under	a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon 18 U.S.C. § 2250
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was control or local offense.	mmitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed s offense described in finding (1).	ince the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
	ole presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe defendant has not rebutted that presumption.
, and the second se	Alternative Findings (A)
✓ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the	defendant has committed an offense
for which a maximum prison term of to Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C	
✓ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	ention actablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of condition
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presum will reasonably assure the defendant's appe	ption established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions earance and the safety of the community.
	Alternative Findings (B)
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant w	ill endanger the safety of another person or the community.
 , ,	ement of the Reasons for Detention
I find that the testimony and information subm	nitted at the detention hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing
children, whom he is not supporting. His juvenile re- being released from juvenile court proceedings, he of fleeing and eluding officers. One month after being	rked and has no assets. He has a daily drug habit and has fathered two cord dates back to age 11 and continued through age 18. One month after committed second-degree home invasion, possession of crack cocaine, and released from his sentence on those adult convictions, he was arrested on incorrigible and has shown no ability to conform his conduct to the
Part III –	Directions Regarding Detention
	the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a

defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance. Date: December 16, 2010 Judge's Signature: /s/ Joseph G. Scoville

corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the

Name and Title: Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge